**No: RTI/2011/010018032**

Sub: Information sought by Shri S.K. Bansal.

Ref: Case No. RB/RTI Cell/2010/010018032 seeking information

under the RTI Act.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| S.No. | Item No(s) | Information sought by the Party | Ministry’s response (reply text) | Annexure (Certificate No. detailed file noting) |
| 1 | 1 | Whether it is a fact that that DPC for these years had to be reviewed in the year 2009, due to the fact that few SE Rly officers selected in the said panel had to be upgraded to earlier years panel and 3 senior officers of N.rly., were earlier overlooked / not considered for the said DPCs. | Information sought has already been furnished in reply to items (ii) to (xii) of the initial applications, vide letter No. RTI Cell/2009/01005399. |  |
| 2 | 2 | What was the number of vacancies allotted to SE Railway and N Railway and how many officers were selected against these vacancies from SE Railway and N Rly before the review. | Information regarding number of vacancies allotted to N Railway and S E Railway has already been furnished in reply to item (i) o the initial application, vide letter No. RTI Cell/2009/01005399. No. of officers empanelled are:-  S E Railway – 8 in 2005-06 and 1 in 2006-07.  N Railway – 11 in 2005-06 and 8 in 2006-07. |  |
| 3 | 3 | What was the number of SE Rly and N Rly paneled after the review of DPCs was conducted panel wise i.e. earlier to review and after the review  . | No. of officers empanelled after review comes out to :  S E Railway – 5 in 2002-03 and 6 in 2005-06.  N Railway – 13 in 2005-06 and 9 in 2006-07. |  |
| 4 | 4  5 | Whether additional officers of SE Railway were taken into consideration, after 2 or more officers of SERly were upgraded to earlier years.  If not, the reasons thereof, if yes furnish the details. | The UPSC, after conducting the limited review of the proceedings of the DPC held on 29th May, 2004 in respect of S E Railway for the year 2002-03, recommended for inclusion of those two names, which were included in the panel of 2005-06, and modified the DPC minutes of 2002-03 to that extent only. However, it did not recommend any fresh names for inclusion in the panel of 2005-06 vice those two names. |  |
| 6 | 6 | Kindly furnish the details of file noting and other papers, where decision was taken and approval obtained for not considering the additional SE Railway officers, against the vacancy created in the panel, due to upgradation of 2 more officers to earlier panels. | No such decision was taken in Board’s office. |  |

( Prabir Roy)

Deputy Director, Estt.(GP)-I

Railway Board