July 19th , 2011

**Chief Public Information Officer**

Ministry of Railways

Railway Board

Rail Bhawan, New Delhi

**Dear Sir,**

**Sub: Seeking information under RTI Act, 2005.**

Ref: Your letter No: RTI Cell/2009/01005399 & file noting extract’s of File no: E(GP)2004/1/SR-R.

Reference is invited to Ministry of Railway’s letter under reference and the annexure enclosed therein of extracts of file no: E(GP)2004/1/SR-R. It has been said in the said extracts.

That to adjusts the 3 officers in DPC who were found in DPC’s finalized on 16.4.07 (for the vacancy year in 2005-06) in traffic department – 3 supernumerary posts were got approved.

This was perhaps done to avoid the Junior most 3 officers of the panel being downgraded to next panel, as is normally done in the case of review of DPC’s.

The following information may kindly be furnished :

1. Whether in the event of review of DPCs, the system of creating supernumerary posts is being adopted by the railway Ministry every time.

2. If so, in which DPCs, belonging to Traffic or other departments this system was adopted during last 15 years.

3. If not, why this system has been adopted while reviewing the DPCs of Traffic Deptt. DPC for the year 2005-2006?

4. Whether by adopting this system, the number of vacancies for N.Rly.- which were fixed earlier (perhaps 18) were increased by 3 nos.

5. If so, whether this is the normal system of doing the DPCs. If not, what system was being used earlier to this, and after this DPC for traffic and other department DPCs.

6. Whether the same system was adopted while reviewing the DPCs – 1994 to 2000 in terms of Supreme Court’s judgment for S&T deptt. If not, the reasons thereof and if yes, furnish the details of supernumerary posts thus created.

7. If the normal system of downgrading the junior most persons to next year’s panel, would have been adopted in the Tfc.Deptt. case mentioned above, what are the names of 3 junior most officers, who would have been downgraded to next year panel.

An early response is requested, please.

Yours faithfully,

**( S K Bansal )**

B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

Near Lok Vihar,

Pitampura

Delhi-110 034

**Chief Public Information Officer July 19th,2011**

Ministry of Railways

Railway Board

Rail Bhawan, New Delhi

**Dear Sir,**

**Sub: Seeking information under RTI Act, 2005.**

Ref: Your letter No: RTI Cell/2009/01005399 & file noting extract’s of File no: E(GP)2004/1/SR-R.

Reference is invited to the DPC panel for the vacancy year 2005-2006 and 2006-07 for Traffic department.

The following information may kindly be furnished :

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1 | Whether it is a fact that the DPC for these years had to be reviewed in the year 2009, due to the fact that few SE Rly officers selected in the said panel had to be upgraded to earlier years panel and 3 senior officers of N.Rly., were earlier overlooked / not considered for the said DPCs. |
| 2 | What was the number of vacancies allotted for S E Railway and N. Railway, and how many officers were selected against these vacancies from S E Rly. & N. Rly before the review ? |
| 3 | What was the number of S E Rly & N Rly panelled after the review of DPCs was conducted panel wise i.e. earlier to review and after the review. |
| 4 | Whether additional officers of S E Rly were taken into consideration, after 2 or more officers of SE Rly were upgraded to earlier years. |
| 5 | If not the reasons thereof, if yes furnish the details. |
| 6 | Kindly furnish the details of file noting and other papers, where decision was taken and approval obtained for not considering the additional S E Rly Officers, against the vacancy created in the panel, due to up gradation of 2 or more officers to earlier panels. |

An early reply is requested.

Yours faithfully,

**( S K Bansal )**

B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

Near Lok Vihar,

Pitampura

Delhi-110 034

**Appeal**

**July 19th,2011**

**Shri N C Gaur**

Joint Secretary (Appellate)

Union Public Service Commission

Dholpur House

Shahjehan Road

New Delhi – 110 065.

***Sub: Seeking information under RTI Act, 2005.***

Ref: UPSC’s letter no: F.2/2(7)/2011-AP dated 21.06.2011.

**Dear Sir,**

I have been denied the information sought under RTI act, 2005 vide your letter under reference.

Copy of my letter dated 14/6/11 is enclosed herewith. It is evident from this that I nowhere sought any opinion & comments/views of the departments. It is purely seeking certain information on a subject, which has clearly been denied to me.

I asked the information that whether details/reasons for delay are asked/recorded by the UPSC/DOPT and what is the action taken, and if not, the reasons thereof.

In para 4, I have asked the action taken on the defaulting officials responsible for delay and the reasons thereof.

In para 5, I have asked specifically whether at the time of conducting DPC for civil Engineering Department of Indian Railways in November, 2004, when DPC for 2002, 03, 04 had also became due, then whether the Railways was asked to submit the papers for 2002, 03 & 04 in view of the guidelines issued by the DOPT’s if not the reasons thereof.

It is evident that in all these, I have nowhere sought opinion or comments of UPSC rather it is the information only which has been sought.

In view of this, it is clear cut refusal to supply me this information, hence I appeal that I may please be furnished the information asked for.

An early action is requested, please.

DA: as above.

Yours sincerely,

**( S K Bansal )**

B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

Near Lok Vihar

Pitampura

Delhi-110 034

**Appeal 20th July,2011**

**The Secretary**

Ministry of Railways

Railway Board

Rail Bhawan

New Delhi

***Ref: Railway Board’s letter No: RTI Cell/2010/010013305***

***dated 01.02.11.***

**Dear Sir,**

The administration has not given complete information to me taking advantage of typographical mistake.

Please read – in Para 2 of my application – OA No: 280/08 decided on 29.01.2010 – instead of OA No: 28008.

In view of this kindly furnish me information for para no. 2, 3 & 4 of my application.

An early reply is requested please.

Yours faithfully,

**( H S Sidhu )**

AXEN/Const.,

Northern Railway

State Entry Road

New Delhi.

**The Chief Information Commission Date-27.6.11**

Room No.306, 2nd Floor,

August Kranti Bhawan

Bhikaji Cama Palace

New Delhi – 110 066.

**Sub: Appeal against non-furnishing of information under RTI Act,**

**2005 by the Railway Ministry.**

**Dear Sir,**

1. I applied for furnishing certain informations to me regarding Junior Scale strength and . other related informations from Railway Ministry. Copy of my application dated . . 14.12.09.is enclosed as annexure –I

2. The Railway Board gave the reply vide their letter no: RTI Cell/2009 /6392/CPIO-II dated 4.1.2010 (copy enclosed).

3. Since the information given was not complete, I made an appeal to the appellant authority vide my application dated 19.1.2010 (copy enclosed).

4. The reply to this appeal was given vide Railway Board’s letter no: RTI Cell/2009/6392 dated 16.2.2010 (copy enclosed).

5. That despite ofmy appeal and the reply thereof none of my queries as mentioned in my application or appeal is replied and para 1 to 5 still remain unreplied. Specifically, I asked for the basis/formula criteria of fixing the Junior Scale strength (both in 1273 and leave reserve), which has not yet been furnished.

6. Though the noting of sanction of JS, for SC Railway has been mentioned, but the parameters for arriving at this number has also not been furnished.

It is requested that this be looked into, so that necessary information is furnished to . me at the earliest.

Yours faithfully,

(**S.K. Bansal** )

B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

Near Lok Vihar, Pitampura

Delhi-110 034

July 20th , 2011

**Chief Public Information Officer**

Ministry of Railways

Railway Board

Rail Bhawan, New Delhi

**Sub: Seeking information under RTI Act, 2005.**

**Dear Sir,**

Attention is drawn to Railway Board’s letter No: RTI Cell/2009/01005392 dated . . 4.1.2010, and the pp notings enclosed therein.

The following information may kindly be furnished:

1. The bifurcation of 1273 posts indicates that it is 304 for Civil Engineers and 191 for Mechanical and 113 for Accounts services.

What are the basis/parameters/formula of arriving at these numbers for these departments and other departments.

2. Similarly, the leave reserve strength for Civil is 110, for Mechanical 143, and 68 for Accounts department.

What is the basis/parameters/formula of arriving at these numbers for these departments and other departments?

3. While fixing the total J S strength, in almost cases share of 1273 and share of leave reserve strengths have largely been summed as to Mechanical (199+143) IRTS (`164+100) and IRSE (153+41) etc etc whereas the JS strength of civil Engineering (304+110 = 414) and Accounts (113+68 = 181) has considerably been reduced. Kindly furnish the reasons thereof and the copies of the notings/decisions, specifically reducing the JS strength of civil Engineering and Accounts Department may kindly be furnished.

4. The notings enclosed indicate (pp-54 para 4) , indicate the 1273 was the JS figure used for cadre review of Group ‘A’, which cadre review it is referring and whether it is 1991 (because for cadre review 2003 figure of 1273 was never used.

5. If the share of Engineering Department in 1273 was 304 and Accounts 113, then the reasons of reduction of these numbers to 185, and so respectively , while increasing the number of every other departments may kindly be furnished alongwith the relevant file notings and decisions if any.

6. It is said that the revised figures of strength of JS for Civil Engineers (185 + 110) and Accounts (50+68) were approved by Cabinet. If so kindly furnish the copy of the proposal seeking the sanction of JS strength of Railway department.

- contd -
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7. Whether the strengths of all cadres are supposed to be sanctioned/ approved by the Cabinet,

a) if so, when was the last sanctioned was got approved,

b) Please furnish the details, notings and decisions.

8. At what interval this is required to got approved. Please furnish the necessary directions of the Cabinet for the same.

9. When is the next approval is due?

a) Whether it is required to be sanctioned for all cadres or only for Junior

Scale.

An early reply is requested.

Yours faithfully,

DA-postal order no. 92E 225855 (**S.K. Bansal** )

B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

Near Lok Vihar, Pitampura

Delhi-110 034