**The Chief Information Commission Date-27.6.11**

 Room No.306, 2nd Floor,

 August Kranti Bhawan

 Bhikaji Cama Palace

 New Delhi – 110 066.

 **Sub: Appeal against non-furnishing of information under RTI Act,**

 **2005 by the Railway Ministry.**

 **Dear Sir,**

1. I applied for furnishing certain informations to me regarding Junior Scale strength and . other related informations from Railway Ministry. Copy of my application dated . . 14.12.09.is enclosed as annexure –I

2. The Railway Board gave the reply vide their letter no: RTI Cell/2009 /6392/CPIO-II dated 4.1.2010 (copy enclosed).

3. Since the information given was not complete, I made an appeal to the appellant authority vide my application dated 19.1.2010 (copy enclosed).

4. The reply to this appeal was given vide Railway Board’s letter no: RTI Cell/2009/6392 dated 16.2.2010 (copy enclosed).

5. That despite of none of my queries as mentioned in my application or appeal is replied and para 1 to 5 still remain unreplied. Specifically, I asked for the basis/formula criteria of fixing the Junior Scale strength (both in 1273 and leave reserve), which has not yet been furnished.

6. Though the noting of sanction of JS, for SC Railway has been mentioned, but the parameters for arriving at this number has also not been furnished.

 It is requested that this be looked into, so that necessary information is furnished to . me at the earliest.

Yours faithfully,

 (**S.K. Bansal** )

 B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

 Near Lok Vihar, Pitampura

 Delhi-110 034

 June 10th , 2011

 **Chief Public Information Officer**

 Ministry of Railways

 Railway Board

 Rail Bhawan, New Delhi

 **Sub: Seeking information under RTI Act, 2005.**

**Dear Sir,**

 Attention is drawn to Railway Board’s letter No: RTI Cell/2009/01006392 dated . . 4.1.2010, and the pp notings enclosed therein.

 The following information may kindly be furnished:

1. The bifurcation of 1273 posts indicates that it is 304 for Civil Engineers and 191 for Mechanical and 113 for Accounts services.

 What are the basis/parameters/formula of arriving at these numbers for these departments and other departments.

2. Similarly, the leave reserve strength for Civil is 110, for Mechanical 143, and 68 for Accounts department.

 What is the basis/parameters/formula of arriving at these numbers for these departments and other departments?

3. While fixing the total J S strength, in almost all cases share of 1273 and share of leave reserve strengths have largely been summed as to Mechanical (194+143) IRTS (`164+100) and IRSEE (153+41) etc etc whereas the JS strength of civil Engineering (304+110 = 414) and Accounts (113+68 = 181) has considerably been reduced. Kindly indicate the reasons thereof and the copies of the notings/decisions, specifically reducing the JS strength of civil Engineering and Accounts Department may kindly be furnished.

4. The notings enclosed indicate (pp-54 para 4) ,that the 1273 was the JS figure used for cadre review of Group ‘A’, which cadre review it is referring and whether it is 1991 (because for cadre review 2003) figure of 1273 was never used.

5. If the share of Engineering Department in 1273 was 304 and Accounts 113, then the reasons of reduction of these numbers to 185, and 50 only respectively be while increasing the number of every other departments may kindly be furnished alongwith the relevant file notings and decisions if any.

6. It is said that the revised figures of strength of JS for Civil Engineers (185 + 110) and Accounts (50+68) were approved by Cabinet. If so kindly furnish the copy of the proposal seeking the sanction of JS strength of Railway department.

 - contd -

 -2-

7. Whether the strengths of all cadres are supposed to be sanctioned/ approved by the Cabinet,

 a) if so, when was the last sanctioned was got approved,

 b) Please furnish the details, notings and decisions.

8. At what interval this is required to got approved. Please furnish the necessary directions of the Cabinet for the same.

9. When is the next approval is due?

 a) Whether it is required to be sanctioned for all cadres or only for Junior

 Scale.

 An early reply is requested.

Yours faithfully,

DA-postal order no. 92E 225855 (**S.K. Bansal** )

 B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

 Near Lok Vihar, Pitampura

 Delhi-110 034

**Very very original. Enjoy.**

**Why are wives more dangerous than the Mafia? The mafia wants either ur money or life... The wives want both!

Marriage is like a public toilet Those waiting outside are desperate to get in & Those inside are desperate to come out.
No Man Can Ever Be Satisfiedwith 4 things in life.
(1) Mobile
(2) Automobile
(3) TV
(4) Wife
Because there is always a better model in neighborhood.
Searching these keywords on Google `How to tackle wife?`
Google search result, `Good day sir, Even we are searching`.
Compromising does not mean you are wrong and your wife is right. It
only means that the safety of your head is much more important than your ego!

Imagine living with 3 wives in one compound and never leaving the house for 5 years.Osama Bin Laden must have called the US Navy Seals himself!

Whisky is a brilliant invention. One double and you start feeling single again.

A friend recently explained why he refuses to get to married. He says the wedding rings look like miniature handcuffs.

It is said that when a woman closes her eyes, she sees the person she is in love the most; and when a man does that... the slide show begins.
It takes thousand workers 2 build a castle, Million soldiers to protect a country, but just One woman 2 make a Happy Home --------- A Good Maid!
Funny quote on a husband`s T-Shirt:
All girls are devils,
but my wife is the queen
--
--
--
--
--
--
of them.**

No: 204 June 10th , 2011

**The Additional Member (Staff)**

Ministry of Railways

Railway Board

Rail Bhawan, New Delhi

 **Sub: Supply of information under RTI Act, 2005.**

 Ref: Your letter No: RTI Cell/2010/11387/CPIO-2/Final reply.

Dear Sir,

 Reference is invited to my letter dated 13.09.10, seeking information and your reply under reference and also appeal dated 30.12.10 (copy enclosed).

 It is pointed out that the information asked for vide para no.3 requesting cadre strength of Junior Scale as indicated in 1993 classified list of officers, zone/unit wise and department wise, has not been supplied. If it is not available for 1993 for all departments/units/zone , the earlier years strength may kindly be furnished.

 An early reply is requested.

Yours faithfully,

DA: As above

**( S K Bansal )**

B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

Near Lok Vihar,

Pitampura

Delhi-110 034

No: 203 June 10th , 2011

**The Additional Member (Staff)**

Ministry of Railways

Railway Board

Rail Bhawan, New Delhi

 **Sub: Supply of information under RTI Act, 2005.**

 Ref: 1. Your reply

 2. My appeal dated 19.3.10, 13.9.10.

Dear Sir,

 I had made an appeal (under reference) but no reply has been received by me on this date. It is requested that the information asked for may kindly be furnished at the earliest.

Yours faithfully,

**( S K Bansal )**

 B-3/4, Jeevan

 Jyoti Apartment

Near Lok Vihar,

Pitampura

Delhi-110 034

 No: 202 June 10th , 2011

**Smt. Sashi Kaushal**

Addl. Director of Education

Directorate of Education

Old Secretariat

Room No.17, Delhi – 110 054.

 **Sub: Appeal – Information sought under RTI Act, 2005.**

 Ref: Your letter No: DE/RTI/ID No: 9820/2011/5808-09 dated

 30.05.11.

 I had made an application seeking for information under RTI Act, 2005 which was received in your office on 3.5.11 though more than one month has passed, but still today no reply has been given. After one month, I have only been informed that the application has been forwarded to some other authority and there is no response to me. I am not interested to whom it is sent, I am interested in information.

 I have made two other applications too. Even in these I have not received any response.

 It is requested that the information asked for may kindly be given at the earliest possible. The reasons for delaying the same may also be analysed.

Yours faithfully,

( **S K Bansal** )

B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

Near Lok Vihar,

Pitampura

Delhi-110 034

No: 201 June 10th , 2011

**Chief Public Information Officer**

Ministry of Railways

Railway Board

Rail Bhawan, New Delhi

 **Dear Sir,**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1 | Whether it is mandatory to issue seniority list of Group ‘B’ Officers, on zones and invite objections, before they are considered for Group ‘A’ induction and a certificate is required to be issued to UPSC in this respect. |
| 2 | Whether the Railway Board is now contemplating to conduct DPCs for Group ‘A’ on length of service basis on All India basis for the next years’ DPC’s (i.e. from the year 2010-11). |
| 3 | If so whether the list on length of service basis on All India basis has since been framed, issued and objections called for, from Group ‘B’ officers. |
| 4 | If not, whether the Railways is contemplating to issue said list, if so, by when. |
| 5 | If not, how the Railways are planning to meet with this mandatory requirement. |

 Yours faithfully,

 ndra Singh )

 House No:

 Thana Sector – 50

 NOIDA, U.P.

 No: 200 June 10th , 2011

 **The Central Public Information Officer**

 Union Public Service Commission

 Dholpur House

 Shahjehan Road

 New Delhi-110 069.

 **Sub: Supply of information under RTI Act, 2005.**

 Kindly arrange to supply the following information.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1 | Attention is drawn to DOPT’s Notification No: 22011/1/2011-Estt.(D) Dated 11.3.2011 and also the model calendar for conduction of DPCs dated 8.9.1998, emphasising over the continued delay in conduction of DPCs. |
| 2 | It is a fact that despite so emphatic instructions on the subject of delay in DPCs since 1977 or even earlier, and even after the issue of the model calendar `1998, not a single DPC for Group ‘B’ officers induction in Group ‘A’ (for 8 organised services and numerous non-organised cadres) has taken place in time, and DPC has taken place with 2 to 4 years delay even. Also no responsibility has ever been fixed on any official, for this unwarranted delay in DPCs. |
| 3 | If so, whether while conducting the DPCs with delay, details / reasons for delay are asked / recorded by the UPSC / DOPT, if so, what is the action taken, if not, the reasons thereof. |
| 4 | Whether the details of action taken on the defaulting officials responsible for delay are asked/recorded, if not, the reasons thereof. |
| 5 | The DPC ( Group ‘B’ to Group ‘A’) for the year 2001-2002 for the Civil Engineering Department of Indian Railways which should have been finalised by December,2001, was submitted to UPSC in Nov.2004, when DPCs for the year 2002, 2003, & 2004 had also became overdue, then whether the Railways were asked to submit the DPCs paper for 2002, 03, 04 also which they were supposed to submit in view of the guidelines for conducting DPCs.i. If not, the reasons thereof.ii. If yes, what responsibility were fixed on this aspect.An early reply is requested.Yours faithfully,( **S K Bansal** )B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti ApartmentNear Lok Vihar,PitampuraDelhi-110 034 |

 No: 199 June 10th , 2011

 **The Chief Information Commission**

Room No.306, 2nd Floor,

August Kranti Bhawan

Bhikaji Cama Palace

New Delhi – 110 066.

Dear Sir,

 In view of non furnishing of information to me by Railway Administration, I made an appeal to your goodself vide my application dated 14.1.2011.

 It is seen, that I have not received any response from your office till date though more than 4 months have already passed.

 A copy of my appeal is enclosed for your ready reference. It is requested that an early disposal of my appeal may kindly be made.

Yours faithfully,

( **S K Bansal** )

B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

Near Lok Vihar,

Pitampura

Delhi-110 034

 No:198 June 10th , 2011

**Shri B Majumdar**

Asstt. Public Information Officer

Rail Bhawan

Railway Board

New Delhi

Dear Sir,

**Sub: Supply of information under RTI Act, 2005.**

Ref: Your letter No: RTI Cell/2010/010011993 dated 11.3.2011.

In response to the above, I am sending herewith the copy of my appeal. It is requested that the information sought therein may be given at the earliest.

Yours faithfully,

( **S K Bansal** )

B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

Near Lok Vihar,

Pitampura

Delhi-110 034

 No: 197 June 10th , 2011

 **The Chief Information Commission**

Room No.306, 2nd Floor,

August Kranti Bhawan

Bhikaji Cama Palace

New Delhi – 110 066.

 **Sub: Appeal against refuses to supply information under**

 **RTI Act,2005**

Dear Sir,

 The undersigned, shought certain information from Railway Board under RTI Act, 2005. Copy of the Railway Board reply No.RTI Cell/2009/01006388 dt.06.1.2010 which include the informations asked by me is enclosed.

 It is surprising, that despite accepting that the information asked for is available with the Railway Board, in the form asked for the applicant, supplying this information has been denied/ refused and the applicant has been directed to collect this information from various Railways/ units by whom these has been sent to Railway Board.

 The fact is that this information is the basic input for submitting the DPC proposals for induction of Group ‘B’ officers to Group ‘A’ and any mistake in calculating the same many damage the prospects of individuals, hence it is a most important information.

 After the refusal of this information, the appeal was also submitted to the Railway Board. The same information has been requested by few other also, but it is regretted that every time this information is being denied to the applicant (Copy of the applications/ appeals of one Sh.Harpal Singh are also enclosed).

 It is requested that necessary directions may kindly be issued to the concerned authorities in the Railway Board, since now the same information as on 01.1.2011 has been collected and is presently relevant, lists as on 01.1.2011 may kindly be given to the applicant. In addition necessary action against those who are responsible for refusing the legitimate information, for no logical reasons, may also be initiated.

 Decision is required to be taken, that if the information is available with any authority collected from various authorities, then whether, if asked for the applicant may be compelled to get the said information from various Railways/units even if the information is not confidential even.

 An early action is required.

 Yours sincerely,

 ( **S K Bansal** )

B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

Near Lok Vihar,

Pitampura, Delhi-110 034

No: 196 June 10th, 2011

**The Chief Information Commission**

Room No.306, 2nd Floor,

August Kranti Bhawan

Bhikaji Cama Palace

New Delhi – 110 066.

 **Sub: My appeal to C.I.C. regarding refusal for giving me**

 **Information dt.02.2.2011.**

 Ref: Railway Board’s letter No.RTI Cell/2010/010011992 dated

 11.3.2011.

Dear Sir,

 I had made a appeal to your office as above, which perhaps was forwarded to Railway Ministry without any copy to me.

 I have received a letter from Railway Board (copy enclosed) where in instead of supplying the information against para No.2,3,5,6,7 & 8 of my applications, they have enclosed the copies of some decisions of yours.

 In my opinion, these decisions are not relevant to my quarries, in my initial applications and hence these should be treated as clear cut refusal to give me information sought.

 I, feel that this clear cut case of denying me of my right for seeking information and therefore may kindly be taken suitably.

 An early reply is requested.

Yours sincerely,

 ( **S K Bansal** )

B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

Near Lok Vihar,

Pitampura, Delhi-110 034

 No: 195 June 10th , 2011

 **The Central Public Information Officer**

 Union Public Service Commission

 Dholpur House

 Shahjehan Road

 New Delhi-110 069.

 **Sub: Seeking information under RTI Act,2005**

Dear Sir,

 This query is in reference to the following notification of the

 DOPT.

1. 22011/1/2011 – Estt.(D) Dt. 11.3.2011 & 25.3.11

2. 22011/3/2011 – Estt.(D) Dt. 24.3.2011 & 25.3.11

 and the model calendar issued by DOPT in 1998 and

 afterward.

 The letter of the Railway Ministry in reply to my application on the subject is also enclosed.

 It may be informed,

1. Whether the letters/ notifications of DOPT are only advisory nature and are not required to be implemented by various ministries.
2. What action is being taken by the nodal authority to conduct the Group ‘A’ selection for not implementing the model calendar and other instructions on the subject - by the Railway Ministry as is evident from the fact that not a single - repeat not a single DPC has been finalised / submitted in time from time immemorial or at least from the date the modal calendar was issued.
3. Whether there is any remedy available with the officers who are being denied their rightful claim of no delay in DPCs, it so kindly furnish the information.
4. Whether the information is available with the UPSC that the DPCs for all the organised cadres of Railway Ministries for the year 2009-10, 2010-11 & 2011-12 are still pending, it so what steps are being taken to avoid it and penalise the authorities for causing such delays.

Yours sincerely,

 ( **S K Bansal** )

B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

Near Lok Vihar,

Pitampura, Delhi-110 034

No: 194 June 10th , 2011

**The Chief Information Commission**

Room No.306, 2nd Floor,

August Kranti Bhawan

Bhikaji Cama Palace

New Delhi – 110 066.

 **Sub: Refusal to give information by Railway Ministry under RTI Act,2005**

 Ref: My application dated 03.5.2011 (copy enclosed) and the reply received from

 Railway Board dt.27.5.2011.

Dear Sir,

 I enclosed herewith the copy of my application I gave for seeking Information under RTI Act,2005 from Railway Ministry dt.03.5.2011 and also the copy of the reply given by the Railway Ministry.

1. The tone of the reply and the information given therein is a proof itself that the Railway Ministry has refused to give any information to me.
2. Para No.1 to 5 were very specific but no reply has been given.
3. Against para No.6, I had just asked for the status of DPC pending on 01.4.2011 pertaining to panel years 2009-10, 2010-11 & 2011-12 which I have been told that these are not held, which is nothing but total refusal for giving me the information. If the rules as mentioned by them is true, then there is no use of RTI Act.
4. Similarly information against para 7 & 8 has been denied, that no such information is held. It is definitely surprising.

 You may like to intervene please to uphold the right of an citizen of India. The authority who gave such a wrong and also terse reply may also be taken up

Enclosure : As above.

 Yours sincerely,

 ( **S K Bansal** )

B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

Near Lok Vihar,

Pitampura, Delhi-110 034

No: 193 June 10th , 2011

**The Secretary**

Railway Board

Rail Bhawan

New Delhi110001

 **Sub: Appeal – Information under RTI Act,2005**

 Ref: Your letter No. RB/RTI Cell/2011/16018 dt.27.5.2011.

Dear Sir,

 In reply to my letter seeking information, I have been sent the letter under reference, which is nothing but total refusal of giving any information.

1. Certain notifications have been issued by the Government of India, asking to expedite the DPCs, and giving certain information to them. I asked only to give me information regarding the action taken on this. Para 2 of my letter may please be referred wherein I have asked for the copy of the noting where these notifications have been considered. Even if a decision is taken that no action is needed by the Railways that should have been advised to me.
2. Definite replies were required to be given against para 2 to 5 which is not given.
3. It is requested that the information against para 6, which is seeking that what is the status of DPCs is also not furnished.
4. Please refer para 7 & 8, wherein the reply has been given that no information is held, which cannot be accepted.
5. You are requested to intervene to avoid such stubborn ship, which is a total violation of RTI Act and suitable action against those who are responsible for such attitude may kindly be taken up suitably.

 An early action is requested.

Yours sincerely,

 ( **S K Bansal** )

B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

Near Lok Vihar,

Pitampura, Delhi-110 034

No: 192June 10th , 2011

**The Central Public Information Officer**

 Union Public Service Commission

 Dholpur House

 Shahjehan Road

 New Delhi-110 069.

 **Sub: Seeking information under RTI Act,2005**

Dear Sir,

 Reference is invited to DOPTs notifications No.

1. 22011/1/2011 Estt.(D) dated 11.3.2011 & 25.3.2011

2. 22011/3/2011 Estt.(D) dated 24.3.2011

 The following information may kindly be furnished

1. Whether the name of Jt. Secretary, nominating him as a Nodal officer for conduction of DPCs has since been received from Railway Ministry, if so kindly indicate the name and the post.

2. Whether the direction given there in are required to be implemented in spirit and sense or these are only for guidance/information.

3. While names/status of officers nominated for avoiding the delay in DPCs is being sought, what action is taken if the these directions are not implemented by some Ministries.

4. Whether the DPCs are being submitted to DOPT by 15th July of every year by Railway Ministry.

5. If not what action is being taken by DOPT to ensure this.

6. Whether as per letter dated 25.3.2011 – the status report for vacancy year 2011-12 to which was to be received by 4th April,2011 has since been submitted by the Railway Ministry, if so kindly arrange to furnish the copy of the same.

7. Whether the certificate for adherence to the modal calendar for all DPCs has since been received from Railways, if so kindly furnish a copy of the same to us.

8. Also indicate that the DPCs for 8 organised cadres of Railways are still pending for the year 2009-10, 2010-11 & 2011-12 if so kindly furnish the status as on 01.4.2011.

 An early reply is requested.

Yours sincerely

( **Y S Chaudhary** )

Dy.CE/Survey, Northern Rly.,

Tilak Bridge, New Delhi

No. 191 June 10th , 2011

**The Additional Member (Staff)**

Ministry of Railways

Railway Board

Rail Bhawan, New Delhi

 **Sub: Seeking information under RTI Act,2005**

Dear Sir,

 The following information may kindly be furnished.

1. What are the rules relating to fixation of seniority of officers in Group ‘A’ of organised services. How their seniority is fixed. Please enclose the copies of related rules.

2. Please indicate the rule for fixing the seniority of Group ‘B’ officers inducted in Group ‘A’. Please furnish the copies of related rules.

3. Also provide the copies of rules, according to which a Group ‘B’ officers inducted in Group ‘A’ at earlier date can be junior to the Group ‘A’ at a later date.

Yours sincerely,

 ( **S K Bansal** )

B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

Near Lok Vihar,

Pitampura, Delhi-110 034

No: 190 June 10th , 2011

**The Additional Member (Staff)**

Ministry of Railways

Railway Board

Rail Bhawan, New Delhi

 **Sub: Supply of information under RTI Act, 2005.**

 Ref:

 2. My appeal dated 30.12.2010

Dear Sir,

 I made an appeal for my letter seeking information under RTI and the information given to me vide your letter No. under reference. Copy of my appeal is enclosed.

 It is requested that the information asked for may kindly be furnished at the

earliest.

Yours sincerely,

 ( **S K Bansal** )

B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

Near Lok Vihar,

Pitampura, Delhi-110 034