**No. 160**

 **To, Date 5.10.10**

 **Chief Public Information Officer**

Railway Board Rail Bhawan, New Delhi

 **Sub: Information sought under right to information act, 2005.**

**Dear Sir,**

 The following information may kindly be furnished under RTI Act, 2005.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1 | Whether any modification has been effected in the date of the induction of few officers of the panel year 2002-03, in view of Principal Bench of CAT’s judgment dated 29/01/2010 in case No. 280/08. |
| 2 | If so what modification has been made and for how many officers. |
| 3 | What about the date of entry of the balance officers, whether the same has also been modified. If so, make the copy available if not, the receipt thereof. |
| 4 | Whether while modifying the date of induction of these officers, the approval has been sought from the Board and also Minister of Railways. If so, kindly make available the copy of the note submitted for this approval, alongwith the notings of all stages through which it passed. |
| 5 | Whether the modification in the date of induction, has been notified in the Gazetted of India, if so, kindly make available the copy of the said notification. If not, the reasons thereof. |
|  | An early reply is requested please. |

Yours faithfully,

DA: Postal order No.

 For Rs. 10/ ( Jitender Kumar )

ADEN/Track Machine Line

Estate entry Road New Delhi

**159**

**To, Date 5.10.10**

 **Chief Public Information Officer**

Railway Board Rail Bhawan, New Delhi

 **Sub: Information sought under right to information act, 2005.**

**Dear Sir,**

 The Hon’ble Principal Bench of CAT, New Delhi had directed vide their judgment dated 29/01/2010, in O A No: 280/08 ( Y S Chaudhary & Ors Vs U O I & Ors.) to (i) quash the Rly. Bd’s notification dated 18/06/06 regarding the DPC of Civil Engg. Panel 2002-03 (ii) To review the DPC for the panel 2002-03, (iii) To grant date of entry to the panel 2002-03 from earlier 14/01/05 to 01/04/02.

It is requested that :-

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1 | Copy of the notification quashing the Rly. Bd’s notification dated 18/06/06, may please be made available. |
| 2 | Whether the revised notification has been issued in this reference, if so, kindly make available the copy of the modified notification. |
| 3 | Whether the DPC of the batch 2002-03 has been reviewed, if so by whom? and when ? |
| 4 | Whether the reviewed DPC has been approved by Board and the President of India (Minister of Railways) if so, kindly make available the copy of the noting for approval, and also the copy of the modified/review of DPC duly approved by the President of India may kindly be made available. |
| 5 | If the date of induction has been modified to 01/04/02, whether the benefit of due weightage has been produced to these officers. |
| 6 | According to rule, in existence, how much weightage of seniority was due to these officers and what weightage has actually been given. If not 5 years, the reasons thereof. |
| 7 | What rules other than the rules in existence for grant of 5 years weightage have been made available. Please provide the copy of such rules and also copy of the notings where this weightage to be granted to these officers has been counted/calculated alongwith the status of competent authority approving the said calculations. |

 An early reply is requested.

Yours faithfully,

DA: Postal order No.

 For Rs. 10/ ( S K Bansal )

 B-3/4, Jeevan oti Apartment

 Near Lok Vihar, Pitampura

 Delhi-110 034

**158**

**To, Date 5.10.10**

 **Chief Public Information Officer**

Railway Board Rail Bhawan, New Delhi

 **Sub:** Information sought under right to information act, 2005.

 Ref: Railway Board’s letter no. RBI/RTI Cell/2010/010010216

 Dated 16/08/10.

\*\*\*

**Dear Sir,**

 In reference to the Railway Board’s letterunder reference, it has been stated that the Board (ME + MS) and MR’s approval was sought before ante dating the date of induction to 01/01/84 from the earlier date 14.10.85.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1 | Please provide the copy of the note submitted for obtaining the approval of ME, MS & MR alongwith the concerned noting of all officers to whom the said note was submitted. |
| 2 | Whether the notification altering the date of induction of Sh. R K Gupta was notified, if so, kindly provide the copy of the same. |
| 3 | Please also produce the copy of the notification which was sent for Gazette of India for publication. |
| 4 | Whether the changing the date of induction or any modification in induction is required to be approved by the Minister of Railway. A copy of the relevant note may kindly be made available. |

Yours faithfully,

DA: Postal order No.

 For Rs. 10/

( Jitendra Kumar )

 ADEN/Track Machine

 Estate entry Road New Delhi

No.157 Dated : 5/10/2010

**Chief Public Information Officer**

Railway Board

Rail Bhawan

New Delhi

 **Sub: Information sought under RTI Act, 2005.**

 Ref: Judgment of Principal Bench of CAT, O.A. No. 280/08 in

 respect with Y S Chaudhary Vs. U.O.I. Dated 29/01/2010.

 \*\*\*\*\*

**Dear Sir,**

 A judgment was delivered on 29/01/2010, in the above mentioned case, for which a compliance report has also been issued on 9/7/2010, wherein persons, having been inducted to Group ‘A’ w.e.f. `1/4/02 have been shown junior to the persons inducted in Group ‘A’ w.e.f. 14/01/05.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1 | It is requested that the file notings in respect with the above decision, right from the date the judgment received in the railways, to the date the final compliance report is submitted to the Court/applicants, wherein such decision has been taken, alongwith submitted note, and decision, with legal opinion if any etc etc. and the final decision taken by the competent authority may kindly be made available. |
| 2 | It is also requested that the copies of the rules of the railways, mentioned therein or otherwise, if any, for arriving at such decision, may also be made available. |

(H.S.Sidhu)

AXEN,C/O DyCE/Constn

 Estate entry Road New Delhi

DA: Postal order No.

 For Rs. 10/

No.156 Dated : 5.10/2009

**Chief Public Information Officer**

Railway Board,Rail Bhawan, New Delhi

 **Sub: Information sought under RTI Act, 2005.**

 Ref: Judgment of Principal Bench of CAT, O.A. No. 280/08 in

 respect with Y S Chaudhary Vs. U.O.I. Dated 29/01/2010.

 \*\*\*\*\*

**Dear Sir,**

 Kindly arrange to provide me the following in respect with the above subject.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1 | From which date all the five applicants in the said O.A. have been appointed/inducted to Junior Scale of IRSE. |
| 2 | Whether the notification in respect with modification in their induction in Group ‘A’ is required to be issued, for publication in Gazette of India, if so, whether the same has been issued by the Railway administration, a copy of the same may please be made available.  |
| 3 | How many total officers were appointed /inducted in Group ‘A’ Junior Scale in 2002-03 panel for induction, in IRSE Department and what was the date of appointment/induction of these officers. |
| 4 | How many of these officers have been given the modified date of induction. |
| 5 | What is the status of the date of induction to Junior Scale of the remaining (other than the applicant), i.e. whether it remains the same i.e. 14/01/05 or this has also been modified. |
| 6 | Under which rule of Railways/Government of India, a person appointed/inducted on 14/01/05 can be senior to a person inducted in Junior Scale from 01/04/02. Please make available a copy of such rule.  |
| 7 | Copy of the file notings taking such decision, where a person inducted in 14/01/05, can be senior to the person inducted on 01/04/02, may please be made available alongwith the status of the competent authority, which has opposed such decision. |
| 8 | Whether a legal opinion has been obtained for such decision, if so, kindly make available the copy of the file notings in this connection submitting the proposal and approval etc. |

 An early supply of information is requested.

 DA: Postal order No. ( **S.K. Bansal** )

 For Rs. 10/ B-3/4, Jeevan oti Apartment

 Near Lok Vihar, Pitampura

 Delhi-110 034

No. 155 Dated : 5.10.10

**Chief Public Information Officer**

Railway Board

Rail Bhawan

New Delhi

 **Sub: Information sought under RTI Act, 2005.**

 \*\*\*\*\*

**Dear Sir,**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1 | Whether in a case of Shri Y S Chaudhary & Ors. Vs. U O I (O A No: 280/08) the Hon’ble Principal Bench of CAT, New Delhi has delivered a judgment on 29/01/2010. |
| 2 | If so, whether the Hon’ble CAT has said that the panel of 2002-03, for civil engineers, for induction from 14/01/05, was delayed with no bonafide reasons, hence should be ante dated to 01/04/02, when the vacancies arose. |
| 3 | If so, whether the panel for 2002-03 has been ante dated from 01/04/02.atever  |
| 4 | If not, the reasons thereof, and by when it is likely to be implemented from that date. |
| 5 | Whether the Hon’ble Court had also directed. |
|  | (i) To quash the notification dated 18/05/2006 of the Railway Board indicating the DOITS of Civil Engineering officers.  |
|  | (ii) To review the DPC for the panel 2002-03. |
| 6 | If so whether the same has been complied with, if not the reasons thereof. |
| 7 | Please make available the copy of the notification quashing the notifications dated 18/05/2006. |
| 8 | Also make available the copy of the notification after review of the DPC, issued by the administration. |

Yours faithfully,

DA: Postal Order No:

 For Rs.10/-.

( B.B.Garg)

Astt.Executive Engineer/TMC

Track maintenance depot

N.Rly ,Tugalakabad-44

Dated : 13/09/2009

154

**Chief Public Information Officer**

Railway Board

Rail Bhawan

New Delhi

 **Sub: Information sought under RTI Act, 2005.**

 \*\*\*\*\*

**Dear Sir,**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1 | Whether it is a fact that in a judgment delivered on 29/01/2010, by the Hon’ble Principal bench of CAT, New Delhi in the case of Y S Chaudhary & Ors Vs U.O.I. and Ors (O A No. 280/08) of civil engineering Department, the Hon’ble Court has ruled that the panel for the vacancy year 2001 (2002-03), has been delayed to 14/01/05, without any valid reasons, hence it should be antedated effective from at least 01/04/2002 a date by which it should have been finalised. |
| 2 | If so, whether the panel for 2002-03, has since been ante dated w.e.f. 01/04/02. |
| 3 | If so, please make available the copy of the notification. |
| 4 | If not, the reasons thereof. |
| 5 | Whether the notification altering the induction date, is required to be published in the Gazette of India. |
| 6 | If so, whether it has been published. |
| 7 | If so, make a copy available. |
| 8 | If not the reasons thereof. |

DA: Postal Order No:

 For Rs.10/-.

( Jatinder Kumar )

Astt Executive Engineer

TMC / Line /Northern Railway

 State Entry Road, New Delhi

No. 146 Dated : 13/09/2009

**Chief Public Information Officer**

Railway Board

Rail Bhawan

New Delhi

 **Sub: Information sought under RTI Act, 2005.**

 \*\*\*\*\*

**Dear Sir,**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1 | Whether a proposal for cadre restructuring for the cadre of Group ‘A’ officers was mooted/prepared/submitted in year 1990 or so for railway officers. |
| 2 | If so, what was the number of junior scale posts, indicated in the cadre strength, for all the organised departments, department wise. |
| 3 | Also indicate the cadre strength department wise & grade wise for all the departments existing at the time of proposal, and the cadre strength proposed after review. |

Yours faithfully,

DA: Postal Order No:88 E 383894

 For Rs.10/-.

( **S.K. Bansal** )

 B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

 Near Lok Vihar, Pitampura

 Delhi-110 034

No 147 Dated : 13/09/2009

**Chief Public Information Officer**

Railway Board

Rail Bhawan

New Delhi

 **Sub: Information sought under RTI Act, 2005.**

 \*\*\*\*\*

**Dear Sir,**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1 | Whether it is a fact that the sanctioned grade wise cadre strength of gazetted officers, used to be mentioned in the classified list of officers, against all zones, production units, other units of Indian Railways before 1990. |
| 2 | When this system of indicating the sanctioned cadre strength specially of Junior scale was discontinued and the reasons thereof. |
| 3 | What was the last classified list of officers, in which the cadre strength zone/unit wise were indicated and what was the junior scale cadre strength indicated/published department wise. |
|  |  |

Yours faithfully,

DA: Postal Order No: 88 E 383895

 For Rs.10/-.

 (S.K. Bansal)

 B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

 Near Lok Vihar, Pitampura

 Delhi-110 034

 No.148 Dated : 13/09/2009

**Chief Public Information Officer**

Railway Board

Rail Bhawan

New Delhi

 **Sub: Information sought under RTI Act, 2005.**

 \*\*\*\*\*

**Dear Sir,**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1 | Whether it is a fact that an compliance order has been issued in the case of Shri Y.S. Chaudhary & Ors. Vs U.O.I. & Ors. (case no:280/08) the judgment of which was delivered on 29/01/2010. |
| 2 | If so, whether it is also a fact, that for all the five applicants, the date of induction has been changed to 01/04/02.  |
| 3 | Whether it is also a fact that the date of induction of other officers other than the applicants remains as 14/01/05 only. |
| 4 | If so, state that who will be senior as per rule the officer who has the date of induction as on 01/04./02 or the officers who have the date of induction as on 14/01/05. |

Yours faithfully,

DA: Postal Order No: 88 E 383896

 For Rs.10/-.

(S.K. Bansal)

 B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

 Near Lok Vihar, Pitampura

 Delhi-110 034

No.149 Dated : 13/09/2009

No. 150 Dated : 13/09/2009

**Chief Public Information Officer**

Railway Board

Rail Bhawan

New Delhi

 **Sub: Information sought under RTI Act, 2005.**

 Ref: Information regarding Junior Scale strength on Indian

 Railways .

 The information given vide

 (i) RTI Cell No. 3220/09 dtd. 23/05/09.

 (ii) RTI Cell No. 3222/09 dtd. 13//05/09.

 (iii) RTI Cell No. 3224/09 dtd. 15/05/09.

 (iv) RTI Cell No. 6387/09 dtd. 04/01/10.

 (v) RTI Cell No. 6388/09 dtd. 06/01/10.

 (vi) RTI Cell No. 6389/09 dtd. 04/01/10.

 (vii) RTI Cell No. 6390/09 dtd. 12/01/2010

 (viii) Nos. of appeal in respect with these

 \*\*\*\*\*

**Dear Sir,**

 Kind attention is drawn to the above mentioned letters of the Railway Board giving certain informations regarding junior scale cadre strength on Indian Railways. It has been observed, that the Railway administration is not extending the informations called for. Therefore, para wise information is not being supplied despite request in this respect. IN most of the cases, certain photocopies of the noting are enclosed, without giving the para wise informations, saying that the notings will give all the information, which is not the position. IN view of this, this consolidated information is being asked which may kindly be furnished against each query even at the cost of the repetition.

 It is requested that :-

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | (i) | When we arrived at the number of 1273. JS posts and its distribution department wise. |
|  | (ii) | What is the basis/procedure/formula of distributing these 1273 posts among various departments i.e. why the civil engineers department was given 304 posts, IRSSE 191 and IRPS only 78. |
|  | (iii) | Copies of the notification fixing the said number and distribution may please be made available. |
|  | (iv) | In one of the reply, it is said that 1273 was decided in 2005 or so and one noting indicates that this number was sent to DOP&T for cadre structuring in nineties which is the correct statement.  |
|  | (v) | What was the number of JS posts fixed for general administration cadre of officers. |
| 2 | (i) | When was the leave reserve strength 602 was finalized initially. Copy for notification/file notings fixing this strength be made available.  |
|  | (ii) | What was the basis/formula/procedure/criterion of fixing the leave reserve strength for different departments i.e. 143 for Mechanical and also 110 for Civil engineering Department.  |
|  | (iii) | The reasons of not increasing the number of leave reserve strength which was perhaps fixed in eighties, now when the total strength of officers has increased to at least double and even more. |
|  | (iv) | Whether there is a proposal to increase this, if so, when, if not, the reasons thereof. |
|  | (v) | How the 30 nos. of leave reserve posts for general cadre are filled in the present time.  |
| 3 |  | In the RTI reply 92 it was said that the junior scale cadre and leave reserve strength could not to be merged in few departments which have now been merged, if so. |
|  | (i) | In what way the total of 1273 posts of junior scale plus the 602 posts can be 1647 + 30 = 1677 posts. |
|  | (ii) | If the civil engineer’s share in 1273 was 304, how the overall JS & leave reserve case be only 295, when and where decision has been taken to reduced the 304 no. To 185 (295 minus 110 LR) copy of the specific decision to reduce the number of Civil Engineering and Accounts may kindly be made available. |
|  | (iii) | If in 1647 now fixed as strength of junior scale excluding G A cadre, it is observed that the share of all departments in 1647 is almost the total of their share in 1273 and the leave reserve posts except for civil engineers, account’s departments. Then why the same formula could not be adopted for Civil Engineering & Accounts whether a specific decision has been taken for this, if so make available the copy of the said notification / noting / decision.  |
| 4. |  | The reasons for the distribution of posts in junior scale (1273) as also in leave reserve (602), being not done on the basis of total Group ‘A’ posts in each departments, may please be furnished and the relevant noting /decision/notification etc may kindly be made available.  |
|  |   |  |

 It is further requested that if the explanation are not given fully, and the administration wants that the meeting is necessary. Then the address and telephone number of competent authority may please be provided to avoid delay in appeals.

 The reasons of lengthy requests is on account of improper / insufficient information supplied in number of RTIs, which, it is requested, may kindly be avoided this time.

DA: Postal Order No: 88 E 383898

 For Rs.10/-.

( **S.K. Bansal** )

 B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

 Near Lok Vihar, Pitampura

 Delhi-110 034

**Chief Public Information Officer**

Railway Board

Rail Bhawan

New Delhi

 **Sub: Information sought under RTI Act, 2005.**

 \*\*\*\*\*

**Dear Sir,**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1 | Whether it is a fact that a note was submitted for enhancement in the cadre strength of junior scale posts in the cadre of Accounts officers of Indian Railways by Financial commissioner in the Railway Board, about 1-2 year’s back. |
| 2 | Whether this proposal, was also from increasing the number of inductions for Group ‘A’ from Group ‘B’ cadre. |
| 3 | If so, what was the cadre strength of junior scale posts for Accounts Deptt., existing and what was the enhancement proposed. |
| 4 | What is the status of this proposal / note today. |
| 5 | Please make available the copy of the proposal and the concerned file notings in this connection. |

Yours faithfully,

DA: Postal Order No: 88 E 383897

 For Rs.10/-.

( **S.K. Bansal** )

 B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

 Near Lok Vihar, Pitampura

 Delhi-110 034

 151 Dated: 13/09/2010

**The Additional Member (Staff)**

Railway Board

Rail Bhawan

New Delhi

 **Sub: Information sought under RTI Act, 2005.**

 Ref: (1) Your letter No: RTI Cell/2010/010010214 (CPIO-3

 ( Final reply – receipt dated 11.8.2010 )

 \*\*\*\*\*

**Sir,**

 The information given against my request for information has not been given properly. It is therefore request that complete information may kindly be given.

 I had requested for detailed information with specific points, wherein the reply given to me pertains to para one only.

 Information given against para 2 to 6 has not been given at all, which I feel is denial of information to me.

 Please give specific information point to point, separately and necessary notifications issued, may kindly be made available at the earliest.. Since the information requested is the part of the original application, time taken for replying the appeal may kindly be reduced drastically.

Yours faithfully,

( **S.K. Bansal** )

 B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

 Near Lok Vihar, Pitampura

 Delhi-110 034

**152**

Dated : 13/09/2010

**The Additional Member (Staff)**

Railway Board

Rail Bhawan

New Delhi

 **Sub: Information sought under RTI Act, 2005.**

 Ref: (1) Your letter No: RTI Cell/2009/6387/CPIOII

 Dated 4.1.2010 & 16.2.2010.

 (2) My appeal dated 19.3.10.

 \*\*\*\*\*

**Dear Sir,**

 It is regretted that the reply to my appeal dated 19.3.10 (copy enclosed) has not yet been given.

 It is requested that the information asked for may kindly be furnished at the earliest.

Yours faithfully,

DA: As above.

( **S.K. Bansal** )

 B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

 Near Lok Vihar, Pitampura

 Delhi-110 034

No: 145 Dated: 30.07.2010

**The Chief Public Information Officer**

Ministry of Railway

Railway Board

New Delhi

 ***Sub: Supply of information under RTI Act, 2005***

 ***\*\*\****

The following information may kindly be furnished under RTI Act, 2005.

1. Whether it is a fact that an compliance order has been issued in the case of Shri Y.S. Chaudhary & Ors. Vs. Union of India & Ors. (Case No: 280/08) by the judgment of which was delivered on 29.01.2010.

2. If so whether it is also a fact, that for all the five applicants the date of induction has been changed to 01.04.02.

3. Whether it also a fact that the date of induction order of officers other than the applicants remains as 14.01.05 only.

4. If so, state, that who will be senior as per rule the officer who has the date of induction as 01.04.02 or the officers who have the date of induction as 14.01.05.

G.B.Singh

No:136 Dated: 14.7.2010

**The Chief Public Information Officer**

Ministry of Railway

Railway Board

New Delhi

 ***Sub: Supply of information under RTI Act, 2005***

 ***\*\*\****

The following information may kindly be furnished under RTI Act, 2005.

1. Whether in a case decided by Hon’ble CAT / New Delhi on 29.1.10,-Y.S.Chaudhary vs. U.O.I. (case No. 280/08), judgment delivered has been implemented and a compliance report submitted.

 i) If so, when,

 ii) Please make available a copy of the said compliance report.

2. Whether as per the said judgment, the penal issued vide Rly Board’s notification No.E(0)I/2005/SR-6/27 Dated 18.5.06 has been quashed.

 ii) If so please make available the letter quashing the said notification.

3. Whether as per the Hon’ble Court decision the review DPC has since been conducted.

 ii) If so, kindly make available the copy/ list of reviewed DPC.

4. Whether as per direction of the Hon’ble Court, the date of the induction for the officers has been modified to the due date of DPC, say 01.4.02.

 ii) If so kindly make available the copy of such notification.

5. Please indicate the date of effect of the reviewed DPC also please make available the copy of said notification, fixing the date of effect of the DPC panel.

6. What is the date of effect of this panel (with list) ?

 ii) How many persons have been included in the said reviewed panel (with list) ?

 iii) How many officers, which were their in earlier panel have been left over in the

 reviewed panel, (with names),

 iv) And how many new persons have been added in the reviewed panel with

 names with reasons thereof in both the cases.

 An early information is requested.

 ( S K Bansal )

 B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

 Near Lok Vihar Pitampura Delhi

DA: Postal Order No:

84E 135958 for Rs.10//-

No : 137 Dated : 14.7.2010

**The Chief Public Information Officer**

Ministry of Railway

Railway Board

New Delhi

 ***Sub: Supply of information under RTI Act, 2005***

The following information may kindly be furnished under RTI Act, 2005.

1. Whether one Sh. R.K. Gupta – a Civil Engineer Group ‘B’ Officer of W.Rly. was given the benefit of seniorities/ induction from the date of vacancy i.e. 01.1.1984 on account of a CAT Bombay Judgement dated 20.9.1991 (OA No.177/86), the appeal of government for which, was dismissed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India.

2. If so whether the said judgement was implemented by the Railway Board, if so when it was implemented.

 ii) Make available a copy of this letter implementing the same.

 (Hint – letter No.E/GP/86/1/76 dated 27.5.1995)

3. Whether all the seniors of Sh. R.K. Gupta in the said panel were also given the benefit of this antedating of Sh. R.K. Gupta.

 ii) If not the reasons thereof.

4. Once a judgement is given by CAT, confirmed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court, and implemented by the administration, the reasons of not giving the benefit of this judgement duly accepted by the administration, to the officers subsequently inducted with delayed DPCs, may kindly be enumerated.

5. The reasons specially for making Sh.R.K. Gupta, senior to his seniors to whom benefit of due date of DPCs was not extended may kindly be enumerated.

 ii) The copies of file notings decision for extending the benefit to Sh.R.K. Gupta only and not to others may also be made available.

(Y.S. Chaudhary)

 Dy.Chief Engineer/Survey,

Tilak Bridge Rly.Stn., New Delhi

 **Mobile No.9717630254**

DA: Postal Order No:

84E 135959 for Rs.10//-

No : 138 Dated : 14.7.2010

**The Chief Public Information Officer**

Ministry of Railway

Railway Board

New Delhi

 ***Sub: Supply of information under RTI Act, 2005***

 ***\*\*\****

The following information may kindly be furnished under RTI Act, 2005.

1. Whether it is a fact the Hon’ble New Delhi CAT has given a judgement, in the case of Civil Engineers in January,2010, that the delay in their DPCs being not bonafide, the DPC effective date should be from the date the DPC was due as per model calander.

2. If so, when this judgement was delivered and when the same is likely to be implemented.

3. Whether it is fact, that the Railway Board while writing to DOPT vide letter No.E/GP/2004/1/23 dated 01.7.2004 has recommended that the DPC be effective from the date due, the delay being administrative only and therefore the demand of Promotee Officers was considered to be genuine.

 ii) If so make the copy of the said letter available.

4. Also, please indicate that whether the administration now will implement their own recommendation, after this judgement.

 ii) If so by when.

(Y.S. Chaudhary)

 Dy.Chief Engineer/Survey,

Tilak Bridge Rly.Stn., New Delhi

 **Mobile No.9717630254**

DA: Postal Order No:

84E 135960 for Rs.10//-

No : 139 Dated : 14.7.2010

**The Chief Public Information Officer**

Ministry of Railway

Railway Board

New Delhi

 ***Sub: Supply of information under RTI Act, 2005***

 ***\*\*\****

The following information may kindly be furnished under RTI Act, 2005.

**1.** Whether it is a fact that the Promotee Officers of Signal & Telecommunication department have gone to Court in Delhi for redressed of their grievance for delayed DPCs.

2. If so when this petition has been filed in New Delhi CAT.

3. If so whether the appeals is being fought by the Board. If so, when the reply of the administration has been filed, if not by when this is likely to be filed.

(Y.S. Chaudhary)

 Dy.Chief Engineer/Survey,

Tilak Bridge Rly.Stn., New Delhi

 **Mobile No.9717630254**

DA: Postal Order No:

for Rs.10//-

No : 140 Dated : 14.7.2010

**The Chief Public Information Officer**

Ministry of Railway

Railway Board

New Delhi

 ***Sub: Supply of information under RTI Act, 2005***

 ***\*\*\****

The following information may kindly be furnished under RTI Act, 2005.

1. What are the rules for fixing inter-se seniority of same panel for Group’B’ Officers for LGS (Seniority based) selection and for LDCE (merit based) selection.

 ii) Please make available the copies of the relevant rules for both.

2. How is the panel seniority of Group ‘B’ officers fixed in LDCE exams.

3. Is the seniority plays any role in fixation of inter-se seniority or placing officers in panel.

 ii) If yes, the necessary details of rules/ regulations may kindly be given.

4. How is the seniority is fixed in Mech. And Traffic departments where streamwise selections are made, give copies of relevant rules/ regulations.

5. Whether the streamwise selections are still being conducted,

 ii) If not, since when these have been merged,

 iii) give a copy of the notification.

(Y.S. Chaudhary)

 Dy.Chief Engineer/Survey,

Tilak Bridge Rly.Stn., New Delhi

 **Mobile No.9717630254**

DA: Postal Order No:

for Rs.10//-

No : 141 Dated : 14.7.2010

**The Chief Public Information Officer**

Ministry of Railway

Railway Board

New Delhi

 ***Sub: Supply of information under RTI Act, 2005***

 ***\*\*\****

The following information may kindly be furnished under RTI Act, 2005.

1. Whether the seniority of officers passed/Selected through LDCE in Traffic department was fixed earlier was revised later

 ii) And has again been revised on W.C.Rly. for the year 2005 selection.

2. Give the dates of earlier fixation, the date it was revised,

 ii) And the date when it has been finally been revised.

3. Please give the rules/ regulations adopted initially for fixation of seniority and the rules/ regulations adopted for revising it, and again the rules/ regulations revising it finally.

4. Make available the copies of these file notings and the decision of the Competent Authorities for deciding the seniority for all the Three times.

(Y.S. Chaudhary)

 Dy.Chief Engineer/Survey,

Tilak Bridge Rly.Stn., New Delhi

 **Mobile No.9717630254**

DA: Postal Order No:

for Rs.10/-

 No : 142 Dated : 14.7.2010

 **The Chief Public Information Officer**

Ministry of Railway

Railway Board

New Delhi

 ***Sub: Supply of information under RTI Act, 2005***

 ***\*\*\****

The following information may kindly be furnished under RTI Act, 2005.

1. What is the status of DPCs for all the 8 Organized cadre for Group ‘B’ officers induction to Group ‘A’ and also for all the misc. Categories for the vacancies of the year (as on 01.7.2010)

 2008 panel year 2009-10

 2009 panel year 2010-11

 2010 panel year 2011-12

2. When the DPC were supposed to be finalized for all these years as per the model calendar for all categories including misc. Categories.

3. What are the vacancies due for all these years, year-wise, category-wise.

4. What is the reason for the delay in finalizing the DPCs.

5. By when these are likely to be finalized.

( Jatinder Kumar )

Astt Executive Engineer

TMC / Line /Northern Railway

State Entry Road, New Delhi

DA: Postal Order No:

84E 135961 for Rs.10/-

**No.143** Dated 16.7.2010

**The Additional Member (Staff)**

Ministry of Railways

Rail Bhawan,New Delhi

 Sub: Information sought under RTI Act 2005

Ref: Your letter No.RTI Cell/2010/8057/CPIO-II (Final reply dt.23.4.2010).

**Sir,**

Vide your letter above, I was informed that the information asked by me is being collected and shall be made available as the earliest possible.

The question was asked on 19.3.2010. The information has not yet been supplied to me through about 4 months have already passed. Please let me know by when the same is likely to be submitted.

As per this information given to me, the vacancies for 2004-05 for traffic were 27 and whereas for Civil it was 57. Based on this the vacancies for 2005 onward which were fixed at 44, the number of Civil Engg. Post (on the same ratio) should have been 96.

The reasons of granting only 76, may please be given on the criteria for fixing the posts for different departments may kindly be furnished.

 Moreover while giving the reply to me, it has been mentioned that the same is final reply whereas as per your admission the information is yet to be furnished finally.

 An early reply is requested.

 ( S K Bansal )

 B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

 Near Lok Vihar Pitampura Delhi

**No. 144** Dated: 16.7.2010

**The Additional Member (Staff)**

Ministry of Railways

Rail Bhawan,New Delhi

Sub: Information sought under RTI Act, 2005

Ref: Your letter No.RTI Cell/2010/01008057 dt.09.4.2010

Sir,

 Vide your letter under reference; I was given only interim reply. Final reply has not yet been given to me though more than 3 months have already passed since your interim reply and 4 months from my application.

 An early reply may please be given.

 ( S K Bansal )

 B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

 Near Lok Vihar Pitampura Delhi

No : 4 Dated : 4.6.2010

**The Chief Public Information Officer**

Ministry of Railway

Railway Board

New Delhi

 ***Sub: Supply of information under RTI Act, 2005***

 ***\*\*\****

The following information may kindly be furnished under RTI Act, 2005.

**The Additional Member (Staff)**

Ministry of Railways Dated 7.610

Rail Bhawan,New Delhi

 Sub: Appeal reg: RTI reply for 6387 dated 09/01/2010.

 Reply of my appeal for the same dated 19/01/2010.

Sub:

Ref: Your RTI Cell/2010/8058/CPIO-II (Final reply).

In response to the above, the following information may kindly be furnished under RTI Act, 2005.

1, One vacancy pertaining to 9.7.1997, was not taken in account, came to notice in 2008 only i.e. after more than 11 years. How this came to notice after 11 years may please be indicated.

2. Whether there was no appeal in between, against this fault, for 11 years.

3. How many DPCs were conducted after 14.9.2000, if so when.

4. Whether the availability of vacancies or cadre structure/vacancy availabilities is not received every year. If not how this was calculated/noticed in 2008.

5. When the left over vacancy actually came to notice, concerning file notings, indicating the fault of calculation, and the decision taken/approval obtained of competent authority for review after 11 years, the reasons indicated for the fault etc, may please be made available.

6. The copies of the proposal sent to UPSC for review, justifying the need in review after 11 years and also the correspondences taken place between UPSC and Railway administration may please made available.

7. Under what circumstances, no vacancy was available from 14.9.2000 to 31.12.08, may please be made available.

8. System of calculations of vacancies for unorganized services may please be made available.

**( S.K. Bansal )**

No : Dated : 4.6.2010

**The Chief Public Information Officer**

Ministry of Railway

Railway Board

New Delhi

 ***Sub: Supply of information under RTI Act, 2005***

 ***\*\*\****

1. Some selections for induction of Group ‘B’ officers in Group ‘A’ for Electrical department were conducted from 1990 to 1996, please indicate the number of vacancies for which these selection were made and how many officers were actually selected against these posts year wise/panel wise vacancies.

No : 131 Dated : 7.6.2010

**The Chief Public Information Officer**

Ministry of Railway

Railway Board

New Delhi

 ***Sub: Supply of information under RTI Act, 2005***

 ***\*\*\****

1. The Group ‘A’ office5s of Electrical department were granted a large number of vacancies for induction to Group ‘A’ vide Railway Board’s letter no: E(GP)91/1/54 dated 25.4.92, 15.7.92, 12.10.92, 24.12.92 & 7.10.94 and E(GP)92/1/54 dated 25.5.93, 20.8.93, 1.8.94, 7.10.94 & 15.9.95 and E(GP)/93/1/54 dated 5.10.94 and 28.4.95.

 These DPCs were taken up back/revised subsequently in 1996.

 Please indicate the authority by which the vacancies were revised in later years. The copy of the direction to take back the additional vacancies and also the file notings having the decision and sanction of the competent authority to the decision, that it should be taken back may please be made available.

**( P.S. Dhaka)**

**The Additional Member (Staff)**

Ministry of Railways Dated 19.3.10

Rail Bhawan

New Delhi

 Sub: Appeal reg: RTI reply for 6387 dated 1.5.2010.

 Ref: RTI Cell/2010/8156/CPIO-II/Final Reply dated 1.5.2010.

 The information given to me is not complete hence not satisfactory. Kindly furnish the following clarifications.

1. I had asked the number of vacancies to be filled in electrical department for the year 1989, `1990, 1991, 1992, 93. 94. 95, 96 & 97 and additional vacancies (52) for Group ‘B’ and promotee officers.

 Against this, I have been given the information that the vacancies were restricted to 40% of the total vacancies.

 This was not the information called for. The question is how many vacancies were initially allotted for these years, year wise, which may please be furnished.

2. Against how many officers were selected is no where been replied, year wise number of persons is required to be given please.

3. Though the names of the persons selected has been given, but the information asked for was names selected year wise. This information should be the names of the officer selected against which years vacancy.

4. The Annexure-III indicates only 1 person on 3.3.92 whether only one person was selected on 3.3.92. If so, against which year’s vacancy he was selected; may please be indicated same is for other also on 21.02.1993. 48 officers have been indicated. Against which year these officers have been selected may please be indicated.

 Against Para 4 of my query & I am required to be informed that what was the reason of taking back the vacancies in 1996, which may please be elaborated.

 An early reply is requested please.

**( P.S. Dhaka )**

**The Additional Member (Staff)**

Ministry of Railways Dated 7.610

Rail Bhawan,New Delhi

 Sub: Appeal reg: RTI reply for 6387 dated 09/01/2010.

 Reply of my appeal for the same dated 19/01/2010.

Sub:

Ref: Your RTI Cell/2010/8058/CPIO-II (Final reply).

In response to the above, the following information may kindly be furnished under RTI Act, 2005.

1, One vacancy pertaining to 9.7.1997, was not taken in account, came to notice in 2008 only i.e. after more than 11 years. How this came to notice after 11 years may please be indicated.

2. Whether there was no appeal in between, against this fault, for 11 years.

3. How many DPCs were conducted after 14.9.2000, if so when.

4. Whether the availability of vacancies or cadre structure/vacancy availabilities is not received every year. If not how this was calculated/noticed in 2008.

5. When the left over vacancy actually came to notice, concerning file notings, indicating the fault of calculation, and the decision taken/approval obtained of competent authority for review after 11 years, the reasons indicated for the fault etc, may please be made available.

6. The copies of the proposal sent to UPSC for review, justifying the need in review after 11 years and also the correspondences taken place between UPSC and Railway administration may please made available.

7. Under what circumstances, no vacancy was available from 14.9.2000 to 31.12.08, may please be made available.

8. System of calculations of vacancies for unorganized services may please be made available.

**( S.K. Bansal )**

No : Dated : 4.6.2010

**The Chief Public Information Officer**

Ministry of Railway

Railway Board

New Delhi

 ***Sub: Supply of information under RTI Act, 2005***

 ***\*\*\****

1. Some selections for induction of Group ‘B’ officers in Group ‘A’ for Electrical department were conducted from 1990 to 1996, please indicate the number of vacancies for which these selection were made and how many officers were actually selected against these posts year wise/panel wise vacancies.

No : 131 Dated : 7.6.2010

**The Chief Public Information Officer**

Ministry of Railway

Railway Board

New Delhi

 ***Sub: Supply of information under RTI Act, 2005***

 ***\*\*\****

1. The Group ‘A’ office5s of Electrical department were granted a large number of vacancies for induction to Group ‘A’ vide Railway Board’s letter no: E(GP)91/1/54 dated 25.4.92, 15.7.92, 12.10.92, 24.12.92 & 7.10.94 and E(GP)92/1/54 dated 25.5.93, 20.8.93, 1.8.94, 7.10.94 & 15.9.95 and E(GP)/93/1/54 dated 5.10.94 and 28.4.95.

 These DPCs were taken up back/revised subsequently in 1996.

 Please indicate the authority by which the vacancies were revised in later years. The copy of the direction to take back the additional vacancies and also the file notings having the decision and sanction of the competent authority to the decision, that it should be taken back may please be made available.

**( P.S. Dhaka)**

No : 135 Dated : 26.4.2010

**The Chief Public Information Officer**

Ministry of Railway

Railway Board

New Delhi

 ***Sub: Supply of information under RTI Act, 2005***

 ***\*\*\****

 Kindly arrange to supply the following information:

1. I) Whether it is a fact that a proposal was mooted in 1989 or so for

 granting one time additional vacancies for Group ‘B’ officers’

 induction in Group ‘A’ based on stagnation.

1. If so, how many additional vacancies were proposed and for which department.
2. Copy of letters written to UPSC recommending the same may please

 be made available,

 iv) Reasons for such recommendations.

1. Whether this recommendation was accepted by UPSC
2. if so, how many additional vacancies were actually approved for which departments.

iii) Make available the copy of the letter of the UPSC approving the same.

1. i) Whether a letter was issued after the approval of the UPSC on Railways.

ii) If so, kindly make available the copy of such letters ( or if not issued

 copy of the file notings if any).

Note: Please note that reply of each item is requested separately,not in clubbed position

DA/ Postal order no.135957

 For Rs. 10/ ( Y.S. CHAUDHARY) Dy.Chief Engineer/Const. Mahabat Khan Road Tilak Bridge Rly.Station

 New Delhi.

No: 132 Dated: 19.3.2010

**The Central Public Information Officer**

Union Public Service commission

Dholpur House

Shahjehan Road

New Delhi

**Sub: Seeking information under RTI Act, 2005**

**Sir,**

1. Whether it is a fact that instruction exist, that for Group ‘A’ induction DPC, even officers who have retired by the time the DPC is conducted, are required to be considered for the DPC?
2. If so, whether the retired officers are supposed to be promoted to Group ‘A’ specially when the vacancies pertain to the period much before their retirement. What shall be the date of effect of this promotion?
3. If they are not promoted, then why and how the vacancies are considered to be filled? If the vacancies are filled, why these vacancies are not given subsequently or at least from the date of their retirements, especially when the vacancies were due to be filled much before their retirement.
4. If the retired official’s names are included in the panel, but they are actually not promoted, then what benefits actually accrue to them? Whether they can enjoy the status of Group ‘A’, if not how the vacancies are considered to have been filled.
5. If the vacancies are not filled, then how the shortfall in quota is fulfilled and when.

DA: Postal Order No:

84E 135954 for Rs.10/-

 Yours faithfully

( Jatinder Kumar )

Astt Executive Engineer

TMC / Line /Northern Railway

State Entry Road, New Delhi

No : 131 Dated : 19.3.2010

**The Chief Public Information Officer**

Ministry of Railway

Railway Board

New Delhi

 ***Sub: Supply of information under RTI Act, 2005***

 ***\*\*\****

 Kindly arrange to supply the following information:

1. Whether it is a fact, that a number of vacancies used to be carried forward against officers selected but promoted against sealed cover cases, and also against those which are selected, but not permitted.
2. If so, kindly give number of vacancies forward against each category (sealed cover /retirements), but not promoted during the vacancy years 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003 & 2004 department wise.
3. State the number of posts, for which DPCs were conducted for the vacancy years 2003, 2004, 2005 & 2006 separately for Civil & Traffic departments.
4. What was the total number of officers put in sealed cover and also the total number of officers who were selected, but could not be promoted due to retirements, department wise for the period of 2003 to 2006, for Civil & Traffic department.
5. What steps are being taken to fill these vacancies, and by when.

 ( S K Bansal )

B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

 Near Lok Vihar Pitampura Delhi

DA: Postal Order No:

84E 135955 for Rs.10//-

No.133 March 19, 2010

**The Public Information Officer**

Ministry of Railway

Railway Board

New Delhi

 Sub: **Information sought under Right to Information Act,**

 **2005 – DPC for public relation.**

Ref:Your office letter no: E(GP)2007/3/16 dated 22/01/09.

 **\*\*\***

Attention is drawn to Railway Board notification no. E(GP)2007/3/16 dated 22/01/09 regarding promotion of P.R.O.

 The following information may kindly be furnished :

1. Whether the said promotion have been made on account of DPC of PRO on Indian Railway.
2. (i) If so, when this DPC was conducted.

(ii) when the minutes of DPC were approved/signed by UPSC.

1. (i) When was the DPC earlier to this were conducted for PRO.

(ii) When the said minutes were signed.

(iii) how many officers were empanelled (give name & Railways) and

(iv) what was the date of effect of this promotion.

1. (i) What is the seniority of fixing date of effect as 14/09/2000.

(ii) Reason of selecting the date as 14/09/2000 may please be indicated.

1. (i) Why the promotion have been effected from 14/09/2000 &

(ii) Why notification has been issued on 22/01/09 after more than 8 years.

1. What is the reason’s of conducting this DPC in 2008-09.
2. Quote the rules under which the promotions were have been given after 8 years and why.
3. The reason of superseding the notification no: E(GP)94/1/74 dated 28/09/07 may please be indicated.

( S K Bansal )

B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

 Near Lok Vihar Pitampura Delhi

DA: Postal Order No:

 84E 135956 for Rs.10//-

**The Additional Member (Staff)**

Ministry of Railways Dated 19.3.10

Rail Bhawan

New Delhi

 Sub: Appeal reg: RTI reply for 6387 dated 09/01/2010.

 Reply of my appeal for the same dated 19/01/2010.

 Ref: Your letter no: RTI Cell/2009/6387/CPIO-II dated

 04/01/2010 and 16/02/2010.

Sir,

 The perusal of the reply of my appeal, clearly indicate that the queries raised by me through my appeal have not yet been addressed satisfactorily and all the queries raised through my appeal have not been replied yet.

 By the reply it is now clear that the original reply given by the administration that there was segression before 2001, was wrong as per their an reply in my appeal, where in it has been mentioned that the LR/JS strength was existing before sixties and some thing has been issued on 31/03/1980.

 It may be cleared that when was final LR/JS strength after 31/3/1980, department wise the same may please be furnished.

2. If the classified lists published in eighties and nineties contained the strength of Jr. Scale and also LR/Jr. Scale foe each department and each zone separately. Then how this statement that there exist no separate JS strength may kindly be explained.

3. Similarly the strength shows 1273 was available in the cadre restructure papers in 1992 as per PP-54 enclosed with my reply may kindly be explained.

4. I had requested that reply to my queries be given separately, without using brackets even at the cost of repetition, has not been given importance even after my appeal which may please be evoked into.

5. A specific request that the reasons/formula/calculations to arrive at the presently sanctioned JS regular and also JS/LR strength may kindly be furnished has not been replied which is requested now.

6. It is now requested that reply to my original RTI query as also my appeal may kindly be furnished in detail.

7. Finally though I have been asked to visit Railway Board for more clarifications, on a mutually agreed date, it has not been mentioned that how this date can be decided mutually with whom, and who will be the official, meeting me. The official concerned should be fairly senior capable of giving right information and take right decision. I should also be permitted for perusal of all concern papers.

 An early reply is requested.

( S K Bansal )

B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

 Near Lok Vihar Pitampura Delhi

**The Additional Member (Staff)**

Ministry of Railways Dated 19.3.10

Rail Bhawan

New Delhi

 Sub: Appeal reg: Information through RTI –October,2005

 Junior scale strength in General cadre.

 Ref: Railway Board’s letter no: RTI Cell/2009/6390/CPIO-II

 Dated 12/01/2010 and 02/02/2010

Sir,

 The information to my queries has not been given satisfactorily as under :

Para 2 I had asked the number of Jr. Scale posts sanctioned as regular cadre for General cadre.

 For this I have been told that there is a combined strength of Jr. Scale, & Group ‘B’ as 88. This is not was asked.

Para 3 My queries were that how many of these have been filled regularly and how many officers have been inducted in Junior Scale during last 10 years.

Para 4 My query was if not filled regularly the reasons of not distributing these posts for other department may kindly be furnished.

The reply given against both these paras is just not relevant at all. It is known to everybody that the induction of Group ‘B’ in Group ‘A’ in 8 organised services is being made. Hence this is not the proper reply to my query.

 It is requested that my queries may kindly be informed properly.

( S K Bansal )

B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

Near Lok Vihar

Pitampura

Delhi

**The Additional Member (Staff)**

Ministry of Railways Dated 19.3.10

Rail Bhawan

New Delhi

 Sub: IInd appeal reg: Information under RTI Act,2005

 Ref: Your letter no: RTI Cell/2009/6392/CPIO-II

 Dated 04/01/2010.

 My appeal dated 19/01/2010.

Sir,

 On your reply, I had given an appeal, but no reply yet has been received. May kindly expedite the same with parawise specific information, specially regarding para 3, 4 & 5.

( S K Bansal )

B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

Near Lok Vihar

Pitampura

Delhi

**The Additional Member (Staff)**

Ministry of Railways Dated 19.3.10

Rail Bhawan

New Delhi

 Sub: RTI -2005 information vide RTI No. 7077 dated 26/02/2010.

Dear Sir,

 The information given vide the above, is not satisfactory, as under :

Para No. 3, 4, 5, 6 have not been replied.

1. Para No.3, wanted that the reasons of delay in initiation of the DPC be given details in each case separately.
2. As per modal calendar, the initiation of the DPC for the panel year 2009-10 be done in April 2008 itself and the same should be sent to UPSC by August, 2008, so that the DPC is finalised by December, 2008. The reasons of not following the system enumerated by the modal calendar may please be awaited.

 If the calling of seniority list itself will be called on 01/12/2008 ( as on 01/01/2009) how the DPCs are expected to be finalised by 31/03/09. The details may kindly be furnished.

Para 4 The date of initiation and also the date of sending final proposal for reach year was requested which has not been given and may kindly be given.

 It has been observed that after the final proposal is sent, lot of queries are raised by UPSC, which takes lot of time and thus delay. The reasons of inability of the Railway Department to send the complete information, as one go, even after so many years of experience, and not even in single case may kindly be enumerated and furnished.

 It is appreciated that the work involved in enormous, but whether in no way that the DPCs can be placed in time whether it will always be delayed. The reason of failure of the administration, in actions taken and systems improvements alongwith strengthening the DPC organisation in Railways may kindly be enumerated.

Para 5 The reasons of not sending the in writing reminders may please be given. What is the time taken by the UPSC after their query is replied based on last years DPC may kindly be furnished.

Para 6 The reply to this query can not be “information not available”. It can be either ‘yes’ or ‘no’ with reasons.

 An early reply may kindly be given. It is requested that reply may kindly be given specific to each query and not as a general information.

( Jatinder kumar )

ADEN/Track Machine

State Entry Road,

New Delhi

**The Additional Member (Staff)**

Ministry of Railways Dated 19.3.10

Rail Bhawan

New Delhi

 Sub: Appeal reg: information sought under RTI Act, 2005

 Leave reserve strength.

 Ref: Your letter no: RTI Cell/2009/6389/CPIO-II

 Dated 04/01/2010.

Sir,

 The information given for my queries is not satisfactory as under :

Para-1 The reply given for this is wrong, in view of the PP-54 enclosed will you like to review it again. Moreover 1647 is nothing but a total of 1273 and 572 (LR) then how it can be said that this was fixed in 2007. This is required to be clarified.

Para-2 to 5 No reply has been given except enclosing few notings which are not giving any reply to my queries.

 Specific reply may please be given against each para, however, for information you may enclose the notings to authenticate the same.

Para – 3 to 5 Need very specific reply, which should be furnished.

( S K Bansal )

B-3/4, Jeevan Jyoti Apartment

Near Lok Vihar

Pitampura

Delhi

**The Additional Member (Staff)**

Ministry of Railways Dated 19.3.10

Rail Bhawan

New Delhi

 Sub: Appeal reg: RTI reply for 6387 dated 1.5.2010.

 Ref: RTI Cell/2010/8156/CPIO-II/Final Reply dated 1.5.2010.

 The information given to me is not complete hence not satisfactory. Kindly furnish the following clarifications.

1. I had asked the number of vacancies to be filled in electrical department for the year 1989, `1990, 1991, 1992, 93. 94. 95, 96 & 97 and additional vacancies (52) for Group ‘B’ and promotee officers.

 Against this, I have been given the information that the vacancies were restricted to 40% of the total vacancies.

 This was not the information called for. The question is how many vacancies were initially allotted for these years, year wise, which may please be furnished.

2. Against how many officers were selected is no where been replied, year wise number of persons is required to be given please.

3. Though the names of the persons selected has been given, but the information asked for was names selected year wise. This information should be the names of the officer selected against which years vacancy.

4. The Annexure-III indicates only 1 person on 3.3.92 whether only one person was selected on 3.3.92. If so, against which year’s vacancy he was selected; may please be indicated same is for other also on 21.02.1993. 48 officers have been indicated. Against which year these officers have been selected may please be indicated.

 Against Para 4 of my query & I am required to be informed that what was the reason of taking back the vacancies in 1996, which may please be elaborated.

 An early reply is requested please.

**( P.S. Dhaka )**

Dear Madam Mamta Ji,

 On 01.07.04, Railway Board had written a letter to UPSC, regarding the delay taking place in finalizing the DPCs for induction of Group ‘B’ officers in Group ‘A’ , and about the demand of the Federation of Group ‘B’ officers, that since the delay in DPCs is always on administrative account, the date of effect theses DPCs should be from the date the DPCs are due. Railway Board in letter said that the demand of the Group ‘B’ officers is genuine, ( copy enclosed as Annexure ‘A’) hence they sought suitable guidelines on the subject; which however was not accepted by them.

 Now, on 29th January, 2010, Hon’ble Principal Bench of CAT at New Delhi has given a judgment in a case (No.280/08) filed by Civil Engineers of Indian Railways directing the Railway Administration to give the effect of DPCs from the date if is due instead of from the date the same is finalized by the administration. Important extracts of the judgment are enclosed as annexure ‘B’ .

 Now. Since the Court has ruled the same thing, as was recommended and considered genuine in the Railway administration, I feel that there is no logic of delaying the implementation of the said judgments for the Civil Engineering Officers of the Railways, which is actually being done by the administration as more than 4 months have already passed since the judgment.

 I, therefore, urge upon you to intervene in the matter so that the judgment is implemented at the earliest.

 An early action is requested please.

Yours sincerely,

DA: As above

(Annexure ‘A’ & ‘B’)

Dear Madam Mamta Ji,

 The DPCs for induction of Group “B” officers in Group ‘A’ is required to be conducted every year to fill up vacancies in Group ‘A’ . As per the instructions , on the subject by and even by PMOs, it should be ensured that DPCs are conducted in time, so that panel are available for promotion in Group ‘A’ in advance. Example, the DPCs for the vacancies of panel year 2005-2006 should be finalized by 01.01.2005 positively. Instructions are so emphatic that there has been recommended that if the DPCs are delayed due to any reason, the definite responsibility be fixed on officials and the responsible persons bv taken up suitably. It is however, observed that these instructions are not being followed.

 I would like to point out that DPCs for the years 2009-10 and 2010-2011 for Civil Engineering Department and also for other departments which should have been finalized by 01.01.2009, and 01.01.10 respectively, have not yet been sent even to UPSC, indicating the delay of years.

 I request you to kindly intervene at the earliest, and impress upon the Railway Administration to ensure the finalization of DPC at the earliest.

I am surethat your positive intervention, shall relieve a large number of Group ‘B’ officers of their finalization; and early action is requested